NCHRP 09-46

Improved Mix Design, Evaluation, and Materials Management Practices for Hot Mix Asphalt with High Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement Content

> Randy West Andrea Kvasnak Jo Daniel



Project Tasks

- Phase I
 - Task 1 Literature review
 - Task 2 Propose mix design & analysis procedure
 - Task 3 Lab work plan
 - Task 4 Interim report (Tasks 1-3)
- Phase II
 - Task 5 Conduct lab work plan
 - Task 6 Compare RAP mixes to virgin mixes
 - Task 7 Evaluate min. of 3 field projects
 - Task 8 Propose changes to standards
 - Task 9 Final report





Completed



Phase II



Overview of Mix Design Approach

- Follow typical Superpave procedures for evaluating and selecting aggregate, aggregate blends, and asphalt content
- Begin with standard binder from a region and then evaluate back calculated binder properties
- Conduct additional mix testing



Mix Testing

- Blended Binder Properties
 - Dynamic Modulus
- Moisture Susceptibility

 TSR
- Permanent Deformation
 - Repeated Load Permanent Deformation
- Fatigue
 - Beam fatigue, AMPT Fatigue, or Overlay Tester
- Low Temperature
 - SCB and BBR with mix beams



Status of Testing

- Dynamic modulus completed
- Moisture Susceptibility completed
- Flow Number completed
- BBR and IDT completed
- Fatigue Test not selected



Dynamic Modulus

- Sensitive to changes in binder grade and increased RAP
- Back calculation underway
 - Using virgin binder master curve to calibrate model



Moisture Sensitivity

- Addition of RAP increased indirect tensile strengths
- TSR values decreased in several cases with the addition of RAP

Recommendation: If the conditioned indirect tensile strength is greater than 100 psi, the TSR can be as low as 70%



Flow Number

- Sensitive to binder grade change and RAP increase
- Selected deviator stress was too low to induce tertiary flow

Preliminary Recommendation: Use a higher deviator stress than 70 psi, conduct test if history of permanent deformation



BBR and Creep Compliance

The data is in the process of being analyzed



Mini- Study: Effects of Binder Grade

- Evaluated if different binder sources and grades substantially affected volumetric properties
- Two RAP sources and four binders per RAP source



Optimal Asphalt Content



at Auburn University

Effective Asphalt Content



at Auburn University

VMA



at Auburn University

RAP Management Best Practices

- Crushing
 - Minimize creating additional fines
- Stockpiling
 - Minimize moisture content
 - Minimize segregation
- Plant Operations
 - In-line crusher should only be used to break up agglomerations
 - RAP feed calibration
 - Superheating
 - Emissions
 - Warm mix asphalt technologies
- Processing and stockpile management should not be a method specification such as requiring fractionation



Questions and Comments

