## **MODOT AND RECYCLING**

Dale A. Williams, PE May 20, 2010

## Early RAP Use

First used in 70's & 80's
 Premature failure

 Dry mixes – 4% to 5% AC
 High RAP content – 35% to 40%

 Discontinued use

# Why Did We Change

► NCHRP 9-12 Increasing costs of virgin material >Underutilization of a valuable resource  $\triangleright$ Industry desire to invest in lowering overall cost of mixtures >MoDOT's desire to become more **Environmentally Responsible** 

#### Non Superpave (less than 600 trucks)

2003 – 15% shoulders only
 2004 – Allowed use in mainline paving
 2005 – 20%
 2008 – Unlimited use, Over 20% testing required

#### **SuperPave**

2005 – 10% surface, 20% base
 2008 – Unlimited Use, over 20% testing required

2010 – PROPOSED Unlimited use, over 30% replacement testing required

# **RAP Underutilized**





#### Waste

#### Misuse

#### Internal Culture Change

DOT used to retain all RAP
 Maint. viewed RAP as "FREE" Rock
 Contractor now retains all RAP



#### **RAP USE**



# **RAP Use**

Performance
 Equal or better than non-RAP mixtures
 Limitations
 Pavement rehab strategies
 Budget

#### **Construction Program Cliff**



\*2010-2015 Awards based on FY09 financial forecast (Excludes engineering, payments and right-of-way) \*\*MHTC Taking Care of the System (TCOS) Funding Distribution (Includes Engineering)

# **RAS History**

2003 – Contractor request
 2004 – Contractor demonstration project
 2005 – First DOT pilot project
 2006 – Specification added

# In The Beginning

 Approached by Pace Construction, Peerless Landfill and MO DNR
 MoDOT Not Using RAP in Mixtures
 Deleterious Material
 Stiffness of Asphalt in Shingles

# **MoDOT Goals**

 Engineering Properties First
 Harmful Effects of Deleterious Material
 Asphalt Binder Properties
 Traffic Safety – Nails, etc.
 If Everything Else Works Out, Landfilling is Reduced

#### Concerns

# How Will Deleterious Material Affect the Mixture Can the Low Temperature Grading be Maintained at Various Blending Ratios

#### **Deleterious Material**

Nails
Wood
Plastic
Cellophane
Paper
Fiber Board





#### Rte. 61/67, St. Louis Co. 19 mm PG 70-22 Binder Course



 PG 58-28
 PG 58-28 / 5% RAS
 PG 64-22 / 5% RAS
 PG 64-22

# Minimal Reflective Cracking & No Rutting to Date...







# Problems with RAS Mixtures

 Sporadic Mixing Problems Confined to Plants not Mixture
 Harder to Place in Cool Weather



## **RAS Specifications**

7% maximum allowed
 Manufacturer waste
 New
 Post consumer (tear off)
 Not allowed in polymer modified mixes
 30% replacement requires PG58-22 or PG58-28

# QUESTIONS

#### High RAP Warm Mix Asphalt Exceeding the 20% Threshold Blending Charts Softer Binder Availability – \$\$\$ >Oxidation Reduction – Warm Mix **Evotherm DAT** >NOR I-44 near Six Flags

#### 35% RAP Warm Mix

#### 20% RAP Hot Mix Control

#### 20% RAP Warm Mix

#### 28% RAP Warm Mix



# High RAP Warm Mix Asphalt

|           | Control | 20%<br>RAP | 28%<br>RAP | 35%<br>RAP |
|-----------|---------|------------|------------|------------|
| Pen       | 29      | 39         | 32         | 28         |
| Viscosity | 25,920  | 16,087     | 16,738     | 23,470     |
| Ductility | 38      | 79         | 54         | 42         |
| DSR 64    | 7.35    | 4.39       | 5.74       | 7.56       |
| MSCR      | 26      | 42         | 37         | 32         |
| DSR 70    | 3.48    | 2.11       | 2.91       | 3.59       |
| BBR -12   | 0.394   | 0.437      | 0.406      | Q.393      |

# **Further Mixture Testing**

IDT
Beam Fatigue
Dynamic Modulus
Rut Testing