

E2b Design System for HMA Containing a High Percentage of RAP Testing and Analysis Update

RAP ETG Auburn, Alabama May 19, 2010





Research Hypothesis

- If the following two mortars are prepared (*identical gradation* and *identical total asphalt content*),
 - -RAP (aged Binder + Aggregate) + Fresh Binder
 - -RAP Aggregate (No binder) + Fresh Binder
- then any difference in properties can be attributed to the RAP Binder only.





Samples Preparation



Procedure Output

- Output is PG of blended binder (PG zz-ww):
 - -If A% Fresh Binder is mixed with B% RAP binder in a mix, then the resulting PG grade of the blended binder will be PG zz-ww.
 - -Based on concepts of blending charts



Sample Preparation

- Mix binder content determined by user
 - Increase asphalt content until *desired workability* is achieved. (minimize fresh binder)
 - *Desired workability:* to allow casting BBR beams and DSR samples.
 - Starting point recommended is 70% / 30% (fresh/RAP binder)
- Spreadsheet accounts for RAP asphalt content to compute total asphalt content.





Mix Calculation

User enters pink cells

RAP Material Properties and Mix Components									
				R100 Binder Content	V				
RAP Sou	irce			[0/]					
		Fresh	Add bind	er until desire	ed be				
Fresh Binder PG Grade:									
	Low and Interm	nediat	workabil	itv is achieved					
RTFO Ag	ed Fresh Binder +	Unbur							
		Total	Binder Content		Min. Total Mortar				
RAP (R100)	RTFO Binder	[%]		Total Mortar [g]	Required [g]				
150.00	40.00	30.61		190.00	182.82				
RTFO Aged Fresh Binder + Burn			00 RAP Aggrega	t <mark>e (RTFO-RRAP)</mark> Mix Ca	lculation				
		Total	Binder Content		Min. Total Mortar				
Burned RAP [g]	RTFO Binder [g]	[%]		Total Mortar [g]	[g]				
150.00	66.47	30.61		216.47	182.82				
RTFO + PAV Aged Binder				Mortar / PAV Pan [g]	162.82				
				Calculated					
RTFO Binder Required	Total PAV Binder			%RAP Binder in Total					
[g]	[g]			Blend	31.44				
50	50								





Required Testing

		Intermo	ediate			
Low Temperature: BBR		Temperat	ure: DSR	High Temperature: DSR		
Binder	Mortar	Binder Mortar		Binder	Mortar	
Samples	Samples	Samples	Samples	Samples	Samples	
	PAV Aged		PAV Aged		Fresh	
PAV Binder	SRAP	PAV Binder	SRAP	Fresh	SRAP	
	PAV Aged		PAV Aged		Fresh	
	RRAP		RRAP		RRAP	
				RTFO	RTFO	
					SRAP	
					RTFO	
					RRAP	





Need testing at 2 temperatures Graphically...



Change in G*/sind of Mortars







Shift in G*. Sin d of Mortars







Data Analysis – Enter Data S, m

BBR Test Results on PAV Aged Fresh Binder										
Test Temperature 1:-12Test Temperature 2:-18									-18	
Time [sec]	Rep. 1	Rep 2.	Average	% Diff. Time [sec]		Rep. 1	Rep 2.	Average	% Diff.	
	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]		S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	
60	168.0	160.0	164.0	4.88	60	291.0	288.0	289.5	1.04	
	m-value	m-value	m-value	m-value		m-value	m-value	m-value	m-value	
60	0.334	0.348	0.341	4.11	60	0.319	0.310	0.315	2.86	
E	BBR Test Re	sults on PA	V Aged Fre	sh Binder +	Burned R100	RAP Agg	regate (RF	RAP Mortar)	
Test Tempe	rature 1:			-12	-	Test Temp	erature 2:	-	-18	
Time [sec]	Rep. 1	Rep 2.	Average	% Diff. Time [sec]		Rep. 1	Rep 2.	Average	% Diff.	
	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]		S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	
60		681.0	681.0		60		1170.0	1170.0		
	m-value	m-value	m-value	m-value		m-value	m-value	m-value	m-value	
60		0.325	0.325		60		0.274	0.274		
	BI	BR Test Resi	ults on <mark>PAV</mark>	Aged Fresl	h Binder + R1	00 RAP (S	RAP Morta	ar)		
	Test Temp	erature 1:		-12	-	Test Temp	erature 2:		-18	
Time [sec]	Rep. 1	Rep 2.	Average	% Diff.	Time [sec]	Rep. 1	Rep 2.	Average	% Diff.	
	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]		S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	S [MPa]	
60	1040.0	1060.0	1050.0	1.90	60	1720.0	1830.0	1775.0	6.20	
	m-value	m-value	m-value	m-value		m-value	m-value	m-value	m-value	
60	0.264	0.257	0.261	2.69	60	0.235	0.233	0.234	0.85	





Data Entry – G*/sin δ

DSR Test Results on Fresh Binder and RTFO Aged Binder, $G^*/sin(\delta)$ [kPa]										
Test Temperature 1:			64		Test Tem	perature 2:		70		
Freq	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Average	% Diff.	Freq	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Average	% Diff.	
[rad/sec]	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	[rad/sec]	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	
10	1.48	1.46	1.47	1.02	10	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.39	
	RTFO	RTFO	RTFO	% Diff.		RTFO	RTFO	RTFO	% Diff.	
10	4.85	4.73	4.79	2.51	10	2.36	2.40	2.38	1.81	
Fres	h Binder + R1	00 RAP and R	TFO Aged Bin	der + R100 RA	P Aggregate	(Fresh and RT	FO RRAP Mort	<mark>ar</mark>), G*/sin(δ) [l	(Pa]	
	Test Temp	erature 1:		64		Test Tem	perature 2:		70	
Freq					_					
[rad/sec]	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Average	% Diff.	Freq	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Average	% Diff.	
	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	[lau/sec]	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	
10	8.00	8.15	8.07	1.92	10	3.74	3.82	3.78	1.93	
	RTFO	RTFO	RTFO	RTFO		RTFO	RTFO	RTFO	% Diff.	
10	26.33	25.28	25.81	4.07	10	10.42	10.00	10.21	4.15	
	Fresh Binde	r + R100 RAP	and RTFO Age	ed Binder + Ri	100 RAP (Fresl	h and RTFO SR	AP Mortar), G	*/sin(δ) [kPa]		
Test Temperature 1:			64	Test Temperature 2:				70		
Freq	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Average	% Diff.	Freq	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Average	% Diff.	
[rad/sec]	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	[rad/sec]	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	
10	11.72	11.73	11.73	0.09	10	5.36	5.25	5.31	2.21	
	RTFO	RTFO	RTFO	% Diff.		RTFO	RTFO	RTFO	% Diff.	
10	33.16	33.78	33.47	1.85	10	14.93	14.61	14.77	2.20	



г



Data Analysis



Example of Effect of RAP Binder on PG Grade

Binder True Grade								
	Fresh			Fresh	25%	40%		
	Binder PG	25% Reno	40% Reno	Binder PG	Wisconsin	Wisconsin		
	64-22	RAP	RAP	58-28	RAP	RAP		
Low Temperature	-23.1	-15.5	-10.9	-30.5	-26.3	-23.7		
Intermediate Temperature	17.0	22.0	26.0	21.8	26.7	29.7		
High Temperature	67.0	68.0	68.6	61.0	64.0	67.1		
PG Grade	64 - 2 2	64 - 10	64 - 10	58 - 28	64 - 22	64 - 22		

Binder True Grade									
	Fresh	25%		Fresh					
	Binder PG	Indiana	40% Indiana	Binder PG	25% Indiana	40% Indiana			
	64-22	RAP	RAP	58-28	RAP	RAP			
Low Temperature	-22.1	-17.4	-15.3	-28.6	-19.9	-14.6			
Intermediate Temperature									
High Temperature									
Low Temperature PG Grade	-22	-16	-10	-28	-16	-10			





Challenges and Further Study

- Verification and Variability
 - -Verify different combinations
 - -Source Variability
- Investigating R100 Material
 - Effect of ignition oven
 - Fractionating or crushing
- Effect on Low Temp Fracture





Single-Edge Notched Beam (SENB)











Previous and New Geometry







New Proposed Sample Geometry

New BBR Geometry:

- No discontinuity of stresses
- Plane sections remain plane after bending







S, Mises (Avg: 75%)

+1.587e+08

.454e+08

SENB: Asphalt modified with rubber





