

# CUTR

### **FTA Standards Development Program: Procuring and Maintaining Battery Electric Buses** and Charging Systems – Best Practices X Safety and Security Certification of Electric Bus Fleets – Industry Best Practices

Lisa Staes, Associate Director, Center for Urban Transportation Research



#### Agenda

#### Industry Best Practices and Findings

- Procuring and Maintaining Battery Electric Buses and Charging Systems – Best Practices
- Safety and Security Certification of Electric Bus Fleets







# **Methodology for Each Report**

- Literature review
- Identification of existing standards, recommended practices, and guidance documents
- Gap analysis for standards, recommended practices, and other resources
- Standards development organization (SDO) coordination during report development and in implementation options



Conclusions and findings





**Procuring and** Maintaining **Battery Electric Buses and Charging Systems** Best Practices







#### **Transit Agency Survey Responses**

CUTR



## **Survey Topics**

- BEB procurements
- Procurement terms/warranty
- Partnerships
- Planning

Ę

- Vehicle types/support infrastructure
- Operating environment
- Training
- Lessons learned



#### Battery Electric Bus (BEB) and Infrastructure Procurement and Maintenance

#### Contact information

#### \* 1. Contact Information



#### **Survey Respondent Reported Challenges - Procurement**



#### New vendor challenges

- Unproven technology
- Knowledge curve
- Determining desired specification and requirements



#### Costs – funding and time

Production delays

- Infrastructure bids were higher than estimates
- Lead times for charging infrastructure



#### Range considerations

- Matching infrastructure technology to support all routes
- Schedule modifications to accommodate range
- Power capacity evaluation, required improvements



CUTR

#### Working with the power company

- Timing the charging infrastructure to precede the BEB delivery
- Installation time for wayside depot charging infrastructure
- On-route charging locations not on transit property
- Integration with facility power needs and existing infrastructure footprint

#### Survey Respondent Challenges – BEB Deployment



#### Timing

Training

Parts delays

• Route considerations/accommodations



#### Cost and space

Support

Funding

• Space for charging infrastructure



#### Vendor challenges

- Vendor challenges with typical bus configuration
- Vendor communication
- Unmet expectations



#### Other challenges

- Software challenges/bugs
- Interoperability challenges
- Connection challenges

8

### **Transit Agency Case Studies**

| 1 | AC Transit                          | Oakland        | CA |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|----|
| 2 | Foothill Transit                    | West Covina    | CA |
| 3 | LYNX                                | Orlando        | FL |
| 4 | Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority | St. Petersburg | FL |
| 5 | Port Authority of Allegheny County  | Pittsburgh     | PA |
| 6 | SEPTA                               | Philadelphia   | PA |
| 7 | TriMet                              | Portland       | OR |
| 8 | Valley Regional Transit             | Boise          | ID |



#### **Challenges Noted by Case Study Agencies**



UNIVERSITY OF

#### **Lessons Learned by Case Study Agencies**

- Incorporate additional tooling, PPE, and training in procurement contract
- Install a third-party analytic system on the BEBs and charging equipment
- Technology is still maturing

#### BEB learning curve



- Anticipate worst-case scenarios
- Future-proof charging infrastructure
- Plan and build out early for future charging expansion

Planning ahead



- Hiring a consultant
- Utility and transit partnership
- Work with OEMs and submit feedback
- Work with the utility early on

#### Partnerships



- Avoiding peak charges
   Consider various specifications for bus and charging infrastructure
- •Diversifying fuel sources
- Managed charging
- On-route charging
- Reducing charge time

#### Charging considerations



UNIVERSITY 0

# Findings – Literature Review and Stakeholder Engagement



### Parts availability is a challenge

Agencies that include original equipment manufacturer (OEM) parts availability expectations in contract negotiations/procurement language may reduce associated challenges with the unavailability of necessary replacement parts.







# Regional or state procurement coordination could leverage economies of scale



Regional or state procurement contracts provide agencies with an opportunity to leverage the benefits of economies of scale, negotiated procurement language, and pooled funding when available.

CUTR

Ē



14

### **Battery warranty ambiguity**

Requesting clear language in the battery warranty that dictates whether the warranty applies to the battery system versus the individual battery packs, cells, and/or battery management systems within the system could reduce the ambiguity of the warranty.







### **Battery disposal processes unclear**



It is beneficial to include battery storage, disposal, and/or recycling details in procurement language to reduce unexpected issues associated with repurposing or disposal when the battery system or individual battery packs reach the end of their useful life.





# Route design methodology may need to change

Depending upon fleet conversion goals, it may be necessary to redesign routes and/or operating parameters to accommodate BEB performance capabilities (or to accommodate on-route charging); additionally, performance capabilities may vary with extreme weather, thus route design methodology may need to vary by season in some geographies.







# Standardized training would be beneficial

- Operator training beyond the general vendor-provided training may improve operator performance and increase BEB efficiency.
- Technician training beyond the general vendor-provided training may improve the confidence of technicians who work on BEBs, which may in turn reduce the technician shortages that many agencies are experiencing. It would also reduce the risks associated with BEB system (buses and charging infrastructure) maintenance.
- Route planning and control center training to clarify the capabilities and limitations of BEBs for both route planners and control center personnel may align the expectations of the BEB with its performance ability and may in turn reduce unexpected state of charge challenges.
- First responder training to provide familiarization of BEB designs may reduce unanticipated hazards and challenges when emergencies occur.





## Facility upgrades and space are necessary

Whether planning for the first BEB procurement or a BEB fleet expansion, facilities require charging infrastructure installation, lifts that are rated for the weight of a BEB, fire suppression systems, and storage space for batteries and spare parts, all of which accumulate space, especially when transitioning to larger fleets. BEBs that have roof-mounted equipment also require personnel lifts for top-of-bus maintenance and additional fall protection.





# Interoperability challenges will exacerbate space limitations for charging infrastructure



As agencies begin to mix fleets during their second and third BEB procurements, the need for interoperability regardless of the make/manufacturer of the vehicle or charging system will be more pronounced, thus it may be beneficial to require interoperability in the procurement language.



Ē



#### **Resiliency and backup power source considerations**

Fuel diversification and availability of backup power may be beneficial when power is unavailable for extended periods of time, such as during hurricane events or other natural disasters, to ensure that an agency can provide the necessary transportation. Other considerations include partnering with utilities to expand grid connections and implementing battery storage and microgrids. Agencies may benefit from States developing backup power plans to assist locally when needed.





# Variable utility rates lead to inconsistent charging costs



Agencies may have limited negotiating powers with utility providers and should therefore consider variations in utility rates by time of day.



Ē



# Industry Best Practices – Fleet Certification

#### Safety and Security Certification of Electric Bus Fleets





### **Requirements for Safety Certification**





Ę



#### **Change Management**



Could this proposed change introduce a new hazard or have an impact on safety performance? Continue with internal process to implement change

YES

NO

Use Safety Risk Management to evaluate change



Ļ



## **BEB Change Management Considerations**

- Operating rules and procedures
- Inspections, testing, and maintenance
- Training
- Emergency preparedness
  - Facilities
  - Service and operations





# **Safety and Security Certification Process**

Identifies the key activities

Highlights resources necessary to develop and implement a certification program for safety and security

Incorporates safety and security more fully into transit projects

X

Provides tools and sample forms to promote the implementation of the safety and security certification process



Ę



| 10-Step       |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|
| Safety and    |  |  |
| Security      |  |  |
| Certification |  |  |
| Process       |  |  |

| 1           |     |
|-------------|-----|
| CU          | TR) |
| $\langle -$ | - 7 |

VERSITY OF

TH FLORIDA

Ē

Description Timeline Phase Critical SCC Outputs Step # Certifiable Elements List Preliminary Hazard Analysis Step 1 Identify Certifiable Elements Engineering / Design Threat and Vulnerability Assessment Certifiable Items List Develop Safety and Security Step 2 Engineering / Design None Design Criteria Develop and Complete Engineering / Design Design Criteria Construction / Installation Conformance Checklist Step 3 Design Criteria Conformance Checklists / Testing (DCCC) Construction / Installation Construction / Installation Perform Construction Specification Conformance Step 4 Specification Conformance / Testing Checklist Operational Hazard Analysis Identify Additional Safety Testing Specification Construction / Installation Step 5 and Security Test Conformance Checklist / Testing Requirements (TSCC) Perform Testing and Construction / Installation Step 6 Validation in Support of the None / Testing SSC Program Manage Integrated Tests for Construction / Installation Step 7 None the SSC Program / Testing Manage "Open Items" in the Construction / Installation Step 8 Hazard Tracking Log SSC Program / Testing Operational Readiness Verify Operational Start-up / Pre-revenue Conformance Checklist Step 9 Readiness Service Temporary Use Permits Final Certificates Conduct Final Determination Safety and Security Start-up / Pre-revenue Step 10 of Project Readiness and 28 Certification Verification Service Issue SSC Report (SSCVR)

### **8 Certifiable Elements List**

| Element         | Sub-Elements   | Items   |
|-----------------|--|---|
| Charging System | <ul> <li>Chargers</li> <li>Transformers</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Fail-Safe Design</li> <li>Impact Protection</li> <li>Training and Maintenance</li> <li>Security Considerations</li> <li>Codes and Standards</li> <li>BEB and facility interfaces</li> </ul>      |
| BEB Vehicles    | <ul> <li>Battery Modules</li> <li>Electrical</li> <li>Traction Power Motors</li> <li>High Voltage Electrical</li> <li>Low Voltage Electrical</li> <li>Controllers</li> <li>Emergency Evacuation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Fail-Safe Design</li> <li>Codes and Standards</li> <li>Facility and Charging Interfaces</li> <li>Emergency releases</li> <li>Escape hatches</li> </ul>   |
| Yards           | <ul> <li>Parking/Charging Layout</li> <li>Physical Security</li> <li>Fire Life Safety</li> <li>Drainage</li> <li>Signage</li> <li>Damaged Vehicles/Batteries</li> </ul>                                    | <ul> <li>Bus Separation Distance</li> <li>Security Considerations</li> <li>Fire Suppression</li> <li>Slope considerations</li> <li>Applicable Signage</li> <li>Isolation Area &amp; Procedures</li> </ul> |
| Facilities      | <ul> <li>Parking/Charging Layout</li> <li>Physical Security</li> <li>Fire Life Safety</li> <li>Signage</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Bus Separation Distance</li> <li>Security Considerations</li> <li>Fire Suppression</li> <li>Applicable Signage</li> <li>Charging Infrastructure Interfaces</li> </ul>                            |

CUTR

### **8 Certifiable Elements List**

| Cybersecurity         | <ul> <li>Network Security</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Firmware Updates</li> </ul>   |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Communications        | <ul><li>Vehicle – Charger</li><li>Battery Management</li></ul>   | <ul> <li>Vital Hazard Management</li> </ul>  |
| Testing/Integration   | <ul><li>Charging</li><li>Electrical</li></ul>  | <ul><li>Interoperability</li><li>Commissioning Tests</li></ul>   |
| Operational Readiness | <ul> <li>BEB Towing</li> <li>Maintenance</li> <li>Training</li> <li>Staffing</li> <li>Routes</li> <li>Spare Parts</li> <li>Public Outreach</li> <li>First Responders</li> <li>Emergency Exercise Program<sup>8</sup></li> <li>Battery/Bus Disposal</li> <li>Service Contracts</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Training</li> <li>Procedures</li> <li>Operators and Maintenance</li> <li>Training and Certification</li> <li>Recharging Considerations</li> <li>Availability and Delivery Time</li> <li>Fleet Safety Tips for the Public</li> <li>Awareness of Unique Hazards</li> <li>Exercise AARs</li> <li>Procedures</li> <li>Warranty and Maintenance</li> </ul> |





## **Threat and Vulnerability Assessments**

- Ensure vendors provide a list of the internet-accessible systems and software
  - Examples of potential vulnerabilities include
    - Preventing the vehicle from charging
    - Unlocking doors and windows
    - Starting the vehicle
    - Disabling the security system

- Ask questions of the vendor
  - What safeguards are implemented in the BEB system to reduce the potential for cyber-attacks?
  - Have their buses been involved in a cyber incident? If yes, what was learned, and what was done to prevent future incidents?



Ę



#### **Potential BEB Hazards - Vehicles**

| BATTERY ELECTRIC BUS PRELIMINARY HAZARD LIST |                                  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
|  | Hazard Description               |  |  |
|  | Sub-System / Elements            | Potential Hazard   |  |
| BEB-01                                       | GVW                              | GVW exceeds tire factor limits causing tire failure/accidents                                |  |
| BEB-02                                       | Engineering Staff Training       | Staff are not knowledgeable of the hazards with the BEB, resulting in injury or damages      |  |
| BEB-03                                       | Maintenance Managers<br>Training | Staff are not knowledgeable in maintenance aspects of the bus resulting in injury or damages |  |
| BEB-04                                       | Driving Instructors Training     | Staff are not knowledgeable in operational aspects of the bus resulting in injury or damages |  |
| BEB-05                                       | Technical Instructors Training   | Staff are not knowledgeable of the hazards with the BEB, resulting in injury or damages      |  |





## **Potential BEB Hazards – Chargers/Systems**

| BATTERY ELECTRIC BUS PRELIMINARY HAZARD LIST |                       |   |  |
|--|-----------------------|---|--|
|  | Hazard Description    |   |  |
| FIL NO.                                      | Sub-System / Elements | Potential Hazard  |  |
| CGR-01                                       | Charger System        | Charging System is not compatible with the bus resulting in the bus not charging and able to enter into revenue service             |  |
| CGR-02                                       | Charger System        | Charging System is not compatible with the bus resulting in the batteries being overcharged and a thermal runaway event occurs      |  |
| CGR-03                                       | Cybersecurity         | Charging system does not meet cybersecurity requirements and leads to an attack that allows the bus to be charged at an unsafe rate |  |
| CGR-04                                       | Software              | Updates to charger software result in incompatibility with the charging of other manufacturer's buses                               |  |
| CGR-05                                       | Hardware              | Updates to charger hardware result in incompatibility with the charging other manufacturer's buses                                  |  |





### **Common gaps in the certification process for BEBs**

- Late coordination with the electric company
- Absence of specific codes and standards
- Some BEB certifiable items may change during assembly
- Parts availability issues hamper BEB operation
- Specific BEB fleet fire protection code requirements
- Most agencies do not utilize a complete safety certification process for BEBs
- Many agencies have not developed safety and security design criteria
- Missing input/coordination from all agency departments and local first responders
- No coordination with other transit agencies to identify issues/lessons learned
- Acquisition of any new PPE and tools



Ļ





- Procuring and Maintaining Battery Electric Buses and Charging Systems – Best Practices (FTA Report 0253)
- Safety and Security Certification of Electric Bus Fleets Industry Best Practices (FTA Report 0252)
- Guidebook for Deploying Battery Electric Buses (FTA Report 0254)





### **Thank You**



Lisa Staes, Associate Director staes@usf.edu



